

"All that is necessary
for the triumph of
evil is that good
men do nothing . . ."
— EDMUND BURKE.



THE NEW TIMES

SURVEY

THE AUSTRALIAN

LEAGUE OF RIGHTS

Vol. 22 No. 12

Registered Australia Post - Publication PP343214 / 00016

December 2021

CONTENTS

Red Glows The 1975 Dawn by Eric D. Butler	1
This is a Restoration, Not a Revolution by Alexandra Marshall	3
CDC Hits New Lows With Two Manipulated Studies by Joseph Mercola	4

RED GLOWS THE 1975 DAWN by Eric D. Butler

Those who saw the Queen deliver her 1974 Christmas Message must have been struck by Her Majesty's serious mood and her stress on the grave issues, which she called upon her subjects to meet and resolve through co-operation. The Queen's message was a reflection of the disintegrating state of the world as 1974 drew to its end. It was a call to face reality, however unpleasant it might be.

The overall reality is that the mounting disasters of 1974 were but preliminaries to what is going to be the most critical year Western Civilisation has faced since the end of the Second World War.

The dawn of 1975 is breaking against a background of deep red storm clouds. There is a deep uneasiness as people in every non-Communist country are buffeted by the cyclone-force pressures of growing inflation, escalating unemployment and economic and social disintegration.

Consider the plight of the U.S.A. which in 1974 saw Richard Nixon forced to follow his Vice-President Spiro Agnew into political retirement, seriously impairing the status of the American Presidency, and leaving the U.S.A. under the direction of the pleasant but inconsequential Gerald Ford, but with policy making firmly under the control of Vice-President Nelson Rockefeller and his messenger boy Dr. Henry Kissinger.

The Rockefellers' and other Wall Street groups' long-time support for economic aid to all Communist nations and the surrender policy called *detente*, backed by the liberal-international press like *The New York Times*, has been thoroughly documented in works like Gary Allen's *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*.

Typical of the subtle but effective censorship of the liberal-international press was the disgraceful treatment before Christmas of one of the most important works published during 1974. Cardinal Mindszenty's *Memoirs*, completed by Macmillan Company for release on November 15th, for the Christmas market. Judged by any standard, the famous Cardinal's *Memoirs* were big news, but *The New York Times*, which boasts that it publishes all the news that is fit to be published, did not even rate the *Memoirs* a mention. The reason? The Cardinal effectively exposes the dangerous myth of *détente*.

The same type of censorship has been also exercised against the works of the British-born research fellow, Antony Sutton, who has documented how the Soviet slave Empire has been sustained from the beginning by economic blood transfusions from the West, financed by groups like the Rockefellers. Sutton's *National Suicide*, one of the most important books of the last fifty years, has been studiously ignored by the liberal-international press everywhere. Even conventional anti-Communists dare not publicise a work, which exposes the reality behind International Communism.

The erosion of America's national will in the face of growing international pressure by both the Soviet Union and Red China, this stemming to a large degree from the treacherous no-win policy in Vietnam, coincides with a marked intensification of American finance-economic problems. One of the first New Year reports from the U.S.A. reads:

"The U.S. economy has suddenly started nose-diving. The unexpectedly rapid deterioration has only become evident in the past few weeks and it has been a wave of fear across America...in virtually every American community, public concern and anxiety over combined inflation and recession have suddenly turned to near-panic in the face of surging unemployment and the worst wave of price rises since 1947 ...One of Wall Street's - and America's - most respected economists, Mr. Henry Kaufman, agreed that the sudden deterioration in the U.S. economy was approaching disastrous proportions, with no immediate hope of preventing a collapse."
(From Harlow Unger. New York in *The Age*, Melbourne, January 9th.)

There is, of course, nothing basically wrong with the American economy. No natural disasters have wrecked

American capital equipment. There has been no sudden loss of industrial and technological skills. American free enterprise is physically capable of producing sufficient for the genuine requirements of the American people. And it can and has provided vast quantities of production, primary and industrial, for the Soviet Union and other countries, much of it under the general heading of "foreign aid."

The basic cause of the America's internal crisis, this now being exploited by those seeking to establish a "National Emergency", is a financial policy rooted in the same type of philosophy as that of the Marxist philosophy. Centralised power is exercised through the manipulation of a financial system used to subject the individual to increasing financial debt, destructive taxation and progressive inflation.

One of the greatest minds of this century, C. H. Douglas, a highly qualified consulting engineer with an international reputation, made the prophetic statement at the end of the First World War, that if persistent attempts were made to work the economic system in accordance with the axioms of "sound finance", then it was as inevitable as the sunrise that Civilisation would be plunged into one disaster after another, with the conservative elements in society being forced to progressively retreat in the face of the Socialist exploitations of these disasters. Douglas's predictions were endorsed by other eminent thinkers. And they have been tragically endorsed by the events now unfolding at an accelerating rate.

The Great Depression of the 'thirties' saw anti-socialists generating a public demand that necessary adjustments be made to financial policy to enable the free enterprise, private-ownership economy work to serve the individual. That demand was perverted with the promotion of the British economist John Maynard Keynes, a degenerate creature, as an economic Messiah. Keynesian financial theories were promulgated internationally as the answer to depressions. The essence of these theories was that Governments should "stimulate" economies through deficit budgets, the deficits to be created as interest-bearing debts. Keynes admitted that this policy was inflationary, but this could be "controlled" by periodic "credit squeezes" and taxation.

The Socialist theoreticians were delighted, as admitted in their textbooks. Keynes had propounded what was in fact a subtle policy for progressively undermining the free-enterprise system and generating increasing instability, which could be justified to impose more centralised controls, irrespective of the label of Governments.

As for our non-Socialist party politicians, let us consider the following: "Let us look at the new year together. Inflation is our common enemy....It is monstrously unjust, for it does most damage where there

is the least power to resist it. When we have a common enemy in war, we get together to fight him....And if, today, inflation is our common enemy, are we not to meet it by national unity and common effort? How can we defeat it otherwise? We have put into action our own programme. You may think it harsh or uncomfortable. But it is not so harsh or uncomfortable as the widespread misery and bitter injustice, which would come from national financial disaster. There will be economic casualties... But I have yet to hear from anybody of any other plan of campaign, and without a plan of campaign this fight cannot be won. It must be won, and it will be won."

The brave words I have quoted are from a broadcast to the people of the Commonwealth of Australia on the evening of January 1 1952, by Prime Minister R. G. Menzies. I wrote to the then Mr. Menzies pointing out that while his "plan of campaign" would certainly produce economic casualties, and much delight amongst the Socialists, it would not end with the fight against inflation being won. Eight years later, in 1960, the Menzies Government had still not won that fight and was desperately using the same Keynesian techniques being used today by the Whitlam Government, and also producing more economic casualties.

The result was the near-electoral defeat of the Menzies Government in 1961 and an immediate reversal of the "fight" by a classic Keynesian deficit budget. And so the tragic story has continued until today, with the finance-economic crisis deepening and today's Federal Liberal and Country Party leaders not only offering no constructive alternatives, but also retreating from their professed principles of the past.

I found it rather sad to read the comments of Sir Robert Menzies, just before Christmas, when on the occasion of his 80th birthday the founder of the Liberal Party lamented that "so many things that I believed in, many of the principles which made the Liberal Party, have so far been forgotten or put on one side that I am deeply concerned about the future." The future is determined by the past. "The evil that men do lives after them" is one of the basic realities of human history.

The accelerating disintegration of Civilisation is the result of the evil policies pursued over a long period of time. Regeneration requires a challenge to those evil policies. Genuine repentance for past mistakes is essential. But it is symptomatic of the rot destroying Civilisation that amidst the growing collapse Western politicians like Prime Minister Whitlam sup with, and lavish praise on, criminal gangsters like Tito, offer them still more economic tributes, and join with them in aiding the "freedom fighters" against the embattled Rhodesians and South Africans desperately attempting to uphold Civilised Government and law and order in one of the West's most strategically vital areas.

The same type of sick "intellectuals", including many

clerics, who worshipped the monster Stalin, today feel a glow of self-righteousness as they aid black murderers, armed with Communist weapons, to kill and maim their fellow Africans in the name of "liberation."

Behind all this diabolical activity is the drive towards the realisation of that old dream of the power maniacs down the Ages: the creation of the World State. But before the World State can be established the West, once proudly termed the "Christian West", must be completely broken and demoralised.

Inflation is one of the major instruments of destruction. Unless the financial causes of inflation are removed, nothing that the Whitlam or any other Government does during 1975 can halt the deepening crisis.

Sniffing political victory during this year. Mr. B. M. Snedden told Australians in a New Year message that "Now is the time to stop the drift and delay of the past two years and get back on the path of steady national progress." I presume he means the Keynesian path he and his colleagues were following with such disastrous results that the electors turned against them in 1972.

Mr. Snedden promised that "Our efforts will be directed to setting the example of a positive, constructive and trustworthy alternative Government capable of restoring sound social economic and progress."

THIS IS A RESTORATION, NOT A REVOLUTION by Alexandra Marshall

Before the oppressive communist regime fell, the USSR was plagued by months of protests. Hundreds of thousands filled the cities of Soviet satellite nations. Their dictators fronted crowds, expecting to find the same praise and worship that decades of fear had accustomed them to. It was an exercise in delusion. Every civilisation has a tipping point where no amount of authoritarian power can overcome the masses. If history's most ruthless governments could not survive citizen outrage, what makes the West's leaders think they will?

It has taken a while for Australians to stir from their comfy centuries of peace. Prodding the ant nest, as they say, required an unusually large amount of thumping. The French were born protesting, but Australians inherited political reserve from their English ancestry. That is not to say that we are politically peaceful.

A Western leader who manages to upset their democracy makes a grave error.

In the Westminster system, politicians are public servants. If they attempt to elevate themselves to 'dictator', it is likely the voting public will tear down the whole show if for no other reason than to punish them for such arrogance.

Premiers abused political majority, so Australians will exile them into minority. Let them wade around in the muck while a conservative alliance of minor parties hold the balance of power. Take away the salaries

But not a word about how Mr. Snedden and his colleagues propose to reverse inflation.

It was left to Mr. Doug Anthony in his New Year statement to provide the chilling suggestion that "No serious illness is likely to be cured without strong, and sometimes, unpleasant, remedies."

Inflation is not a "disease", but a deliberate policy of financial control of the individual. Unless another Liberal-Country Party Government is prepared to challenge that policy, it will merely preside over the same process of accelerating disintegration now taking place under the Whitlam Government, with revolution the end result.

What the Federal Liberal and Countries parties should be following is the inspiring lead against inflation given by Queensland Premier J. Bjelke-Petersen who, amidst the gloom and wreckage of 1974 lit a lighthouse by which in 1975 the Australian ship of State could be safely guided through the inflationary and associated storms to the harbour of economic security and freedom for all Australians.

As the red dawn of 1975 deepens the League of Rights is faced with its biggest challenge. My New Year message to all League supporters is simple:

"Once more unto the breach, dear friends, once more."

of seat-warming MPs. Gut the major parties of politicians who do nothing of value for the people. Put an end to mediocrity.

Camera-loving politicians seem desperate for attention during Covid, so it is time for Australia to scrutinise them. Do they serve us – or themselves? Have they spent decades padding out our political system with unnecessary laws to the point of suffocation? Where does all our money go, because it certainly isn't into the hospital system...

It is telling that on the day up to 100,000 residents flooded Sydney's CBD to protest losing their jobs because of mandatory vaccination policies, the only Tweet put out by Premier Dominic Perrottet was about an airport project to 'create jobs'. If Perrottet isn't nervous, the Prime Minister certainly is.

Scott Morrison has awoken from his tyranny-enabling slumber and decided he likes 'freedom' because it has marketing potential for the next election. He should 'like' freedom because he is the man responsible for protecting the human rights of Australians. Any leader prepared to sit back for months and let the people be abused has no genuine empathy.

National Cabinet was an attempt by Scott Morrison to distance himself from blame while enjoying the perks of power. There is no reason to believe the Prime Minister is suddenly serious about freedom when his Federal government is providing states with the

backbone of technology and information required for vaccine passports.

“We are enabling citizens to consent to provide their immunisation record to a state for integration in the check-in app. How that is used and what is required for citizens is set by the state against health orders,” said the Senate Select Committee, insisting they weren’t using public money to create a vaccine passport system.

Whether they admit to it or not, the Federal government is part of the problem. Scott Morrison is one of many Western leaders who took advice from international bureaucracies. The reason we have identical worldwide protests is because we have an unelected world government controlling domestic policy – not through force, but via the desperate need of weak leaders to pander to the international spotlight. It is a gender-inclusive dick-measuring contest where each prime minister, president, and king-in-waiting shows the United Nations how much power they have over their citizens.

Look around the world. Dissent. Separatism. Furious citizens storming the streets.

In a bold move (considering their awkward history) Austria announced house arrest for the unvaccinated – only for their police and army to turn on the government and declare that they would not enforce those orders. If only Victoria Police had shown the same courage instead of shooting Australians in the back with rubber bullets as they gathered at the Shrine of Remembrance.

What we are seeing on our streets is a demand for restoration, not revolution.

The citizens of the West want their countries back,

CDC HITS NEW LOWS WITH TWO MANIPULATED STUDIES by Joseph Mercola

While recent data from the U.K. Office of National Statistics (ONS) reveal people who have been double jabbed against COVID-19 are dying from all causes at a rate six times higher than the unvaccinated,¹ the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is propping up the official narrative with a "study"² that came to the remarkable conclusion that the COVID shot unbelievably reduces your risk of dying from all causes, which includes accidents (but excluding COVID-19-related deaths). As reported by CNN Health, October 22, 2021:³

"The research team was trying to demonstrate that the three authorized Covid-19 vaccines are safe and they say their findings clearly demonstrate that. 'Recipients of the Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, or Janssen vaccines had lower non-COVID-19 mortality risk than did the unvaccinated comparison groups,' the researchers wrote in the weekly report⁴ of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The team studied 6.4 million people who had been vaccinated against Covid-19 and compared them to 4.6 million people who had received flu shots in

New Times Survey

and if their leaders refuse to give up power voluntarily – like the Communist leaders of old – the Globalists will be ousted from power by the fury of the mob.

No regime can withstand a defiant population. In Victoria, Labor Premier Daniel Andrews may very well be able to buy off another unscrupulous MP to pass his pandemic legislation, but it won’t matter. He cannot be in every business, in every home, or in every street. The only thing Daniel Andrews will do is de-legitimise his government and reduce it to the status of a laughing stock. Australia isn’t quite Berlin circa 1960, but we have border walls to tear down, families to reunite, and liberties to return. These seemingly ‘all-powerful’ corporates and politicians sipping champagne at the World Economic Forum have been put on notice. Society at large is not going to accept a ‘new normal’. We won’t be subscribing to the globalist fantasy of digital surveillance, green fascism, a parallel carbon economy, or socialism by stealth. Build back better? Forget it.

Our government will no longer be run by decree from a Swiss ski resort.

If Australia wants to survive the next hundred years, it must restore itself to the free, fun, and open society that we were born into. Liberty is the only real safety a citizen has.

Alexandra Marshall is an independent writer. If you would like to support her work, shout her a coffee over at Ko-Fi.

Article Source:

<https://www.spectator.com.au/2021/11/this-is-a-restoration-not-a-revolution/>

recent years but who had not been vaccinated against coronavirus.

They filtered out anyone who had died from Covid-19 or after a recent positive coronavirus test ... People who got two doses of Pfizer vaccines were 34% as likely to die of non-coronavirus causes in the following months as unvaccinated people, the study found. People who got two doses of Moderna vaccine were 31% as likely to die as unvaccinated people, and those who got Johnson & Johnson's Janssen vaccine were 54% as likely to die ..."

Two key takeaways from those paragraphs are 1) the researchers admit they intended to demonstrate that the shots are safe and effective, and stats can be manipulated to find what you want to find, and 2) people who got the Janssen shot did in fact have a higher death rate than the unvaccinated (54% likelihood, compared to the unvaxxed).

Are the Shots Reducing All-Cause Mortality?

The researchers hypothesize that people who get the COVID jab may be healthier overall than those who abstain, and have healthier lifestyles. In my view,

December 2021

this is classic Orwellian doublespeak, as most of the brainwashed don't understand the fundamentals of healthy behavior.

I suspect their new propaganda has more to do with the fact that they only looked at data through May 31, 2021. By mid-April, an estimated 31% of American adults had received one or more shots.⁵ As of June 15, 48.7% were fully "vaccinated."⁶ So, we can assume that by the end of May, somewhere in the neighborhood of 45% of eligible Americans were double jabbed, give or take a couple of percentage points.

The reason I suspect statistical tomfoolery is because this is precisely how the CDC invented the "pandemic of the unvaccinated" myth, where they claimed 99% of COVID-19 deaths and 95% of COVID-related hospitalizations were occurring among the unvaccinated.⁷

To achieve those statistics, the CDC included hospitalization and mortality data from January through June 2021, a timeframe during which the vaccinated were still in a minority. Here, we again see them use a seven-month span of time when vaccination rates were low. More importantly, however, is that the chosen cutoff date also obscures a rapid rise in vaccine-related deaths reported to the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS).

Look at the graph below, obtained from OpenVAERS mortality reports page.⁸ As you can see, reports of deaths following the COVID jab peaked right at the beginning of April 2021, then dropped down again during the month of April. Interestingly enough, the study notes that the daily vaccination rate has declined by 78% since April 13, 2021.

However, while the daily vaccination rate has plummeted since April, reported deaths have remained high and relatively steady. Could this be a hint that people are dying from shots they received earlier in the year?

As of January 1, 2021, only 0.5% of the U.S. population had received a COVID shot, so comparing death rates of the vaxxed and unvaxxed in December 2020 and January 2021 may not be all that fruitful. Why not include July, August and September in the analysis instead?

As you can see, reported deaths were significantly elevated during these months, compared to December and January. And, while not shown in that graph, between September 3, 2021, and October 22, 2021, the total cumulative reported death toll shot up from 7,662^{9,10} to 17,619.¹¹ In other words, it more than doubled in about seven weeks — a timeframe that was not included in the CDC's analysis.

What's more, while the study was large and sociodemographically diverse, the authors admit that "the findings might not be applicable to the general population."

Also, recall they changed the definition of

"vaccinated" to include someone who is two weeks past their second dose (for two dose regimens). This would obfuscate the truth as there were tens of millions that received one jab or more but were not considered "vaccinated."

Why Is All-Cause Mortality Higher in 2021?

According to all-cause mortality statistics,¹² the number of Americans who died between January 2021 and August 2021 is 16% higher than 2018, the pre-COVID year with the highest all-cause mortality, and 18% higher than the average death rate between 2015 and 2019. Adjusted for population growth of about 0.6% annually, the mortality rate in 2021 is 16% above the average and 14% above the 2018 rate.

The obvious question is, why did more people die in 2021 (January through August) despite the rollout of COVID shots in December 2020? Did COVID-19 raise the death toll despite mass vaccination, or are people dying at increased rates because of the COVID jabs?

In a two-part series,¹³ Matthew Crawford of the Rounding the Earth Newsletter examined mortality statistics before and after the rollout of the COVID shots. In Part 1,¹⁴ he revealed the shots killed an estimated 1,018 people per million doses administered (note, this is doses, not the number of individuals vaccinated) during the first 30 days of the European vaccination campaign.

Between 800,000 and 2 million so-called 'COVID-19 deaths' may in fact be COVID vaccine-induced deaths. After adjusting for deaths categorized as COVID-19 deaths, he came up with an estimate of 200 to 500 deaths per million doses administered. With 4 billion doses having been administered around the world, that means 800,000 to 2 million so-called "COVID-19 deaths" may in fact be vaccine-induced deaths. As explained by Crawford:¹⁵

"This does not even include vaccine-induced deaths that have not been recorded as COVID cases, though I suspect that latter number is smaller since the only good way to hide the vaccine mortality signal is to smuggle deaths through the already-established COVID death toll."

Corroborating Crawford's calculations are data from Norway, where 23 deaths were reported following the COVID jab at a time when only 40,000 Norwegians had received the shot. That gives us a mortality rate of 575 deaths per million doses administered. What's more, after conducting autopsies on 13 of those deaths, all 13 were determined to be linked to the COVID jab.¹⁶

Is the COVID Jab Responsible for Excess Deaths?

Crawford goes on to look at data from countries that have substantial vaccine uptake while simultaneously having very low rates of COVID-19. This way, you can get a better idea as to whether the COVID jabs might be responsible for the excess deaths, as opposed to the infection itself.

He identified 23 countries that fit these criteria, accounting for 1.88 billion individuals, roughly one-quarter of the global population. Before the COVID jabs rolled out, these nations reported a total of 103.2 COVID-related deaths per million residents. Five nations had more than 200 COVID deaths per million while seven had fewer than 10 deaths per million.

As of August 1, 2021, 25.35% of inhabitants in these 23 nations had received a COVID jab and 10.36% were considered fully vaccinated. In all, 673 million doses had been administered. Based on these data, Crawford estimates the excess death rate per million vaccine doses is 411, well within the window of the 200 to 500 range he calculated in Part 1.

Another interesting data dive was performed by Steve Kirsch, executive director of the COVID-19 Early Treatment Fund. In the video "Vaccine Secrets: COVID Crisis,"¹⁷ he argues that VAERS can be used to determine causality, and shows how the VAERS data indicate more than 300,000 Americans have likely been killed by the COVID shots.¹⁸ Anywhere from 2 million to 5 million have also been injured by them in some way.

What Do the VAERS Data Tell Us?

In a September 18, 2021, interview with The Covexit podcast, Jessica Rose, Ph.D., who holds degrees in applied mathematics, immunology, computational biology, molecular biology and biochemistry, also discussed what the VAERS data tell us about the safety of the COVID shots.

Rose covers issues such as the magnitude of the side effects compared to other vaccination programs, the problem of under-reporting, and how causality can be assessed using the Bradford Hill Criteria. You can find a PDF of the slide show that Rose presents here.¹⁹ Here's a summary of some of the key points made in this interview:

- Between 2011 and 2020, the number of VAERS reports ranged between 25,408 and 49,412 for all vaccines. In 2021, with the rollout of the COVID shots, the number of VAERS reports shot up to 521,667, as of September 3, 2021, for the COVID shots alone. (Fast-forward to October 22, 2021, and the report tally for COVID-related adverse events has ballooned to 837,593.)²⁰
- Between 2011 and 2020, the total number of deaths reported to VAERS ranged between 120 and 183. In 2021, as of September 3, the reported death toll had shot up to 7,662. As of October 22, 2021, the death toll was 17,619.²¹
- Cardiovascular, neurological and immunological adverse events are all being reported at rates never even remotely seen before.
- The estimated under-reporting factor (URF) is 31. Using this URF, the death toll from COVID shots is calculated to be 205,809 as of August 27, 2021;

Bell's palsy 81,747; herpes zoster infection 149,017; paresthesia 305,660; breakthrough COVID 365,955; myalgia 528,457; life threatening events 230,113; permanent disabilities 212,691; birth defects 7,998.

- The Bradford Hill Criteria for causation are all satisfied. This includes but is not limited to strength of effect size, reproducibility, specificity, temporality, dose-response relationship, plausibility, coherence and reversibility.

CDC Claims COVID Jab Beats Natural Immunity

If you think the CDC's claim that the COVID jab lowers all-cause mortality is a low point in its irrational vaccine push, prepare to let your expectations sink even lower, with even more egregious Orwellian doublespeak implementation. October 29, 2021, the CDC released yet another study, this one claiming the COVID jab actually offers five times better protection against COVID-19 than natural immunity. As reported by Alex Berenson in an October 30, 2021, Substack article:²²

"Yesterday the Centers for Disease Control, America's not-at-all-politicized public health agency, released a new study purporting to show that vaccination protects against COVID infection better than natural immunity. Of course, a wave of stories about the benefits of mRNA vaccination followed.

To do this, the CDC used some magic statistical analysis to turn inside raw data that actually showed almost four times as many fully vaccinated people being hospitalized with Covid as those with natural immunity — and FIFTEEN TIMES as many over the summer. I kid you not.

Further, the study runs contrary to a much larger paper from Israeli researchers in August. As my 2-year-old likes to say, How dey do dat? Well, the Israeli study drew on a meaningful dataset in a meaningful way to reach meaningful conclusions. It counted infections (and hospitalizations) in a large group of previously infected people against an equally large and balanced group of vaccinated people, then made moderate adjustments for clearly defined risk factors.

It found that vaccinated people were 13 times as likely to be infected — and 7 times as likely to be hospitalized — as unvaccinated people with natural immunity. In contrast — how do I put this politely? — the CDC study is meaningless gibberish that would never have been published if the agency did not face huge political pressure to get people vaccinated."

Data Manipulation Is Apparently a CDC Specialty

Berenson goes on to dissect the study in question, starting with its design, which he calls "bizarre." The CDC analysts looked at data from 200,000 Americans hospitalized with "COVID-like" illness between January and August 2021 in nine states. Two groups were then compared:

1. Those who had confirmed COVID at least 90 days before and received another COVID test at the time of their hospitalization

2. Those who had been fully vaccinated for at least 90 days, but not more than 180 days, before their admittance and received another COVID test at the time of their hospitalization

Berenson points out what I stressed earlier, which is that choosing certain time or date ranges will allow you to make the shots appear a whole lot better than they actually are. Here, by choosing a 90- to 180-day inclusion range, they're looking at a best-case scenario, as we now know the shots quit working after a handful of months. So, they're only looking at that short window during which the COVID shots are at maximum effectiveness.

The 90-day criterion also ends up excluding the vast majority of patients hospitalized with COVID-like illness, both vaccinated and unvaccinated. While Berenson doesn't address the vaccinated, few if any could have been fully vaccinated for at least 90 days prior to March, so why include January and February? Just about everyone was by definition unvaccinated at that time. As for those with natural immunity, only 1,020 of the 200,000 patients hospitalized between January and August had a previously documented COVID infection. As noted by Berenson:²³

"Given the fact that at least 20% of Americans, and probably more like 40%, had had COVID by the spring of 2021, this is a strikingly small percentage — and certainly doesn't suggest long COVID is much of a threat."

Of the 1,020 with natural immunity, only 89 tested positive for COVID, while 324 of the 6,328 vaccinated patients who met the study criteria tested positive. Of note here is two things:

1. There were more vaccinated patients hospitalized for COVID-like illness than those with natural immunity; this despite including months when vaccination rates were in the fractional and single digits, and

2. A greater number of vaccinated patients tested positive for breakthrough infection than patients with natural immunity

Hospitalization Rate Among Vaccinated Is Soaring
Berenson continues:²⁴

"And the CDC didn't have, or didn't publish, figures on how many people were actually in the two groups ... Instead it compared the PERCENTAGE OF POSITIVE TESTS in the two groups. But why would the percentage of positive tests matter, when we don't know how many people were actually at risk? ... [A]mazingly, the statistical manipulation then got even worse. The natural immunity group had an 8.7% positive test rate. The fully vaccinated group had a

5.1% positive test rate. So the natural immunity group was about 1.7 times as likely to test positive. (1.7x 5.1 = about 8.7.)

With such a small number of people in the natural immunity group, that raw 'rate ratio' may well have failed to reach statistical significance. (We don't know, because the CDC didn't provide an unadjusted odds ratio with 95% boundaries — something I have never seen before in any paper.)

Instead, the CDC provided only a risk ratio that it had adjusted with a variety of factors, including 'facility characteristics [and] sociodemographic characteristics.'

And finally, the CDC's researchers got a number that they could publish — hospitalized people who had previously been infected were five times as likely to have a positive COVID test as people who were fully vaccinated. Never mind that there were actually four times as many people in the second group. Science! By the way, buried at the bottom of report is some actual data. And it's bad. The CDC divided the hospitalizations into pre- and post-Delta — January through June and June through August.

Interestingly, the number of hospitalized people with natural immunity actually fell sharply over the summer, as Delta took off. About 14 people per month were hospitalized in the winter and spring, compared to six per month from June through August. (Remember, this is a large sample, with hospitals in nine states.)

But the number of VACCINATED people being hospitalized soared — from about three a month during the spring to more than 100 a month during the Delta period. These vaccinated people still were less than 180 days from their second dose, so they should have been at or near maximum immunity — suggesting that Delta, and not the time effect, played an important role in the loss of protection the vaccine offered."

Perhaps Rep. Thomas Massie said it best when he tweeted:²⁵

"What do 'road kill' and a CDC sponsored COVID paper have in common? By the third day, they're so picked apart they're unrecognizable. This CDC Director is shameless for fabricating junk science with findings that stand in stark contrast to every credible academic study."

Massie goes on to point out some obvious flaws and questions raised by the study, including the following:

- The authors failed to verify recovery among those with previous infection, so any number of these "reinfections" may actually have been long-COVID.
- The fact that more than 6,000 hospitalized for COVID symptoms were vaccinated, compared to just 1,000 with previous infection, counters the claim that 99% of COVID hospitalizations are unvaccinated.

- The number of vaccinated people hospitalized for COVID symptoms correlate negatively with the time since vaccination; 3,625 were hospitalized within 90 to 119 days of vaccination, 2,101 within 120 to 149 days, and 902 within 150 to 179 days of vaccination. "Could initial hospitalizations be due to vaccine adverse effects or due to a temporarily weakened immune system from the vaccine?" Massey asks.²⁶

- The study only considered those with natural immunity who ended up in the hospital, and not the ones who didn't get sick. "Natural immunity helps prevent hospitalization!" Massey says.²⁷

Massie also notes that this paper, which is only six pages long, has an astounding 50 authors, and at least half a dozen of them disclose Big Pharma conflicts of interest. What's more, seeing how Congress gave the CDC a cool \$1 billion to promote the COVID jab, isn't working for the CDC a conflict of interest as well?

Martin Kulldorff, Ph.D., professor of medicine at Harvard Medical School and a biostatistician and epidemiologist in the Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics at Brigham and Women's Hospital, also critiqued the study in a tweet, saying:²⁸

"This CDC study has a major statistical flaw, and the 5x conclusion is wrong, it implicitly assumes that hospitalized respiratory patients are representative of the population, which they are not. Trying to connect with authors."

Natural Immunity Is the Best Answer

Try as the CDC might to twist the data, there's really no question that natural immunity is superior and longer lasting than vaccine-induced immunity. This is also a long-held medical fact that has been tossed aside as too inconvenient to matter in COVID-19.

For some undisclosed reason, the government wants everyone to get the COVID injection, whether medically warranted or not. The sheer lunacy of that is cause enough to be leery and hold off on getting the risky jab. I can tell you one thing, this policy has nothing to do with safeguarding public health, because it's driving public health in the wrong direction.

It's quite clear that the way out of this pandemic is through natural herd immunity, and at this point, we know there's no reason to fear COVID-19. Overall, its lethality is on par with the common flu.^{29,30,31,32,33} Provided you're not in a nursing home or have multiple comorbidities, your chances of surviving a bout of COVID-19 is 99.74%, on average.³⁴

Additionally, we also know there are several early treatment protocols that are very effective, such as the Frontline COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance I-MASK+³⁵ protocol, the Zelenko protocol,³⁶ and nebulized peroxide, detailed in Dr. David Brownstein's case paper³⁷ and Dr. Thomas Levy's free e-book, "Rapid Virus Recovery." Whichever treatment protocol you use, make sure you

begin treatment as soon as possible, ideally at first onset of symptoms.

The reported rate of death from COVID-19 shots in VAERS, on the other hand, exceeds the reported death rate of more than 70 vaccines combined over the past 30 years, and if you are injured by a COVID shot and live in the U.S., your only recourse is to apply for compensation from the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Act (CICP).³⁸

Compensation from CICP is very limited and hard to get. You only qualify if your injury requires hospitalization and results in significant disability and/or death, and even if you meet the eligibility criteria, it requires you to use up your private health insurance before it kicks in to pay the difference.

There's no reimbursement for pain and suffering, only lost wages and unpaid medical bills. Salary compensation is of limited duration, and capped at \$50,000 a year, and the CICP's decision cannot be appealed.

For a taste of what life is like for those injured by these shots, review some of the cases reported to nomoresilence.world. You can also learn more about the potential mechanisms of harm in Stephanie Seneff's paper,³⁹ "Worse Than The Disease: Reviewing Some Possible Unintended Consequences of mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19," published in the *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice and Research* in collaboration with Dr. Greg Nigh. ***

Sources and References

- 1, 4 Independent Sentinel October 27, 2021
- 2 CDC MMWR October 29, 2021; 70(43): 1520-1524
- 3 CNN Health October 22, 2021
- 5 Bloomberg COVID Vaccine Tracker, see US Vaccinations vs Cases graph, top portion
- 6 Mayo Clinic COVID Vaccine Tracker, Data as of June 15, 2021 (no longer accessible)
- 7 The New York Times July 16, 2021
- 8 OpenVAERS Mortality Reports
- 9 Covexit.com September 18, 2021
- 10, 19 Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting in VAERS September 2021 Update by Jessica Rose Ph.D. (PDF)
- 11, 20, 21 OpenVAERS data as of October 22, 2021
- 12 Twitter Jeremy Horpedahl October 7, 2021
- 13, 15 Rounding the Earth Newsletter August 7, 2021
- 14 Rounding the Earth Newsletter August 5, 2021
- 16 Norway Today January 14, 2021
- 17 Lew Rockwell October 11, 2021
- 18 SKirsch.io/vaccine-resources
- 22, 23, 24 Substack Alex Berenson October 30, 2021
- 25, 26, 27 Twitter Thomas Massie October 30, 2021
- 28 Twitter Martin Kulldorff October 30, 2021
- 29 The Mercury News May 20, 2020 (Archived)
- 30, 34 Annals of Internal Medicine September 2, 2020 DOI: 10.7326/M20-5352
- 31 Breitbart May 7, 2020
- 32 Scott Atlas US Senate Testimony May 6, 2020 (PDF)
- 33 John Ioannidis US Senate Testimony May 6, 2020 (PDF)
- 35 FLCCC Alliance I-MASK+ Protocol
- 36 Zelenko protocol
- 37 Science, Public Health Policy and The Law July 2020; 1: 4-22 (PDF)
- 38 Congressional Research Service Legal Sidebar CICP March 22, 2021 (PDF)
- 39 International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice and Research May 10, 2021; 2(1): 38-79

**NewTimes Survey is printed and authorised by
K. W. Grundy, 13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**